

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/634,071	C	8/04/2003	Rebecca Lyn Dilnik	18,128	18,128 5244		
23556	7590	08/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		K WORLDWIDE	, INC.	·			
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Votific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/634,071	DILNIK ET AL.				
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		MIGGINS, MICHAEL	1772				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	nears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	address			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>26 July 2006</u> is defective for f	ailure to comply with one or more	e provisions of 3	7 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	he mailing date of this Notificatio					
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ms are not under	the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):					
	Item 4. The appeal brief fails to give a concise explaction limitations separately, specifically claims 1, 50 drawings, if any. Item 6. The appeal brief fails to argue each ground of), 69 and 84 to the specification by pa					
			wdu Hvv nda Hood nt Appeals Special	rd ist			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)